March 17, 2021 – Revised 4/21/2021

Mr. Water Morton Director of Legislative Affairs Town of Hamden Mayor's Office 2750 Dixwell Avenue Hamden, Connecticut 06518

RE: Abatement/Remediation Cost Estimates for Hazardous Building Materials High Meadows 825 Hartford Turnpike Hamden, Connecticut 06517 BL Project No. 2000201

Dear Mr. Morton:

BL Companies has completed additional assessments including mercury sampling and suspect PCB material quantification for the High Meadows property in Hamden, Connecticut (the Site). The assessment was performed to develop cost estimates for abatement and remediation of asbestos-containing materials (ACMs), presumed asbestos-containing materials (PACMs) and suspect PCB-containing materials at the Site. These cost estimates were developed using the current assessment data as well as the 'Building Conditions Assessment Report' by BL Companies dated 12/4/2020, and the 'Pre-Acquisition Hazardous Building Materials Assessment' by BL Companies dated 4/29/2020. This property is being reviewed for potential acquisition by the Town of Hamden and possible future development.

BL Companies appreciates the opportunity to assist you with this project. If you have any questions regarding the information provided herein, please feel free to contact the undersigned at 203-630-1406.

Sincerely,

BL Companies

Clare Olisen

Clare Olesen Senior Project Manager

Appendix 1 Appendix 2



1. <u>COST ESTIMATES</u>

Cost estimates have been developed based on the "Building Conditions Assessment Report" by BL Companies dated 12/4/2020, and the "Pre-Acquisition Hazardous Building Materials Assessment" by BL Companies dated 4/29/2020. An additional Site inspection and quantification was performed in January 2021. The gymnasium flooring and slab were tested for mercury and were found to be Below Reportable Limit for mercury. The gymnasium flooring and mastic were tested for asbestos and found to be Non-ACM. See letter report in **Appendix 1**.

These cost estimates have been developed as a <u>'worst-case'</u> scenario assuming all suspect PCB materials will be >50 PPM and will have leached into surrounding substrate and soils.

Scenario #1 (Table 1) includes renovation only and materials recommended for replacement in the Building Conditions Assessment Report. PCB materials will be assumed and therefore require no substrate or soil abatement.

Scenario #2 (Table 2) assumes complete demolition with full characterization of PCB materials as well as contaminated substrates and adjacent soils. These estimates do not include associated demolition costs. **Bolded** items in this table are those projected to most likely be PCB-containing.

Table 1 below includes estimated abatement related to ACMs and suspect PCB-containing material in a renovation scenario. This cost estimates assumes the following:

- All remaining interior ACMs will be abated
- Roofing that has been identified as "at the end of life" will be removed (Buildings 1, 5 and the Transite at Building 4)
- Exterior windows and doors identified as bad/fair will be replaced (All of Building 5 and Building 1)
- Exterior window and door caulk will be assumed to be PCB >50 PPM and ACM and no substrate or soil removal will be required (Where exterior windows/doors are removed)
- All other hazardous building materials that are <u>not identified as requiring</u> <u>replacement</u> are to remain and the costs for removal have not been included in this cost estimate.

Table 2 below includes estimated abatement related to ACMs and suspect PCB-containing material in a demolition scenario. This cost estimates assumes the following:

- All remaining ACMs will be abated (interior & exterior)
- Exterior Category I ACMs (asphalt/roll roofing, blind flashing) will be removed during demolition
- Exterior caulks (window, door, expansion joints) will be assumed to be PCB >50 PPM and ACM and 8" of adjacent substrate removal will be required. Soil removal related to exterior caulks has been assumed to be 3' from elevation and 12" deep.



• The cost estimate includes the abatement/remediation of hazardous materials in coordination with complete demolition of the buildings at the site in preparation for redevelopment. Pricing does not include demolition costs.

The costs for abatement of the identified ACMs are estimated in **Tables 1 & 2** below. Detailed estimate tables by building are included in **Appendix 2**. The estimate makes an assumption of ACMs for materials that were not previously sampled and/or in areas of the Site that were not accessible for inspection (behind walls or under concrete floors). The PCB abatement is for suspect PCB materials as no PCB sampling has been performed.

Table 1 Estimated ACM/PCB Abatement Costs – Interior Abatement and End of Life Materials Former High Meadows Property 825 Hartford Turnpike Hamden, Connecticut

Building Number - Name	Renovation Estimate
Building 1 – Boys Dormitory	\$165,000
Building 3 – Senn Building	\$10,300
Building 4 – Gymnasium	\$50,800
Building 5 – Kitchen/Dining	\$101,300
Building 6 – Activity Center	\$7,500
Building 7 – Cottage	\$2,300
Building 8 – Grounds Garage	\$0
Building 9 – Maintenance/HVAC	\$2,800
ESTIMATED RENOVATION	\$340,000

*Estimate does not include specification design or monitoring and clearances.



Table 2 Estimated ACM/PCB Abatement Costs – in Preparation for/Coordination with Demolition Former High Meadows Property 825 Hartford Turnpike Hamden, Connecticut

Building Number - Name	Demolition Estimate
Building 1 – Boys Dormitory	\$265,650
Building 3 – Senn Building	\$272,100
Building 4 – Gymnasium	\$198,650
Building 5 – Kitchen/Dining	\$233,400
Building 6 – Activity Center	\$63,100
Building 7 – Cottage	\$24,300
Building 8 – Grounds Garage	\$4,800
Building 9 – Maintenance/HVAC	\$31,650
ESTIMATED DEMOLITION	\$1,093,650

*Estimate does not include demolition of clean materials, specification design or monitoring and clearances.

Several factors will influence the cost of the ACM abatement, including but not limited to the scope of the project, the efficiency of the Contractor, time of year the project is bid, the number of bidders, the use of Alternative Work Practices (AWP), the amount of assumed or additional materials that are encountered and test positive for asbestos, the need for third-party monitoring, and requirements imposed by the Connecticut Department of Public Health (CTDPH).

In areas of interior demolition, a representative sample of demolition debris should be collected and analyzed by TCLP. It is anticipated that the limited areas of painted surfaces in combination with non-painted debris would result in findings of < 5.0 mg/L of lead by TCLP, in that case the demolition debris would be considered non-hazardous waste (with respect to lead) and can be disposed of as solid waste.



Materials were not sampled for PCB in order to prevent triggering a requirement to remediate PCB materials. Therefore, suspect PCB-containing materials were identified and quantified for estimating purposes. At the time of renovation or demolition an assessment of PCB materials should be addressed as needed.

APPENDIX 1 GYMNASIUM FLOOR LABORATORY RESULTS



March 17, 2021

Mr. Water Morton Director of Legislative Affairs Town of Hamden Mayor's Office 2750 Dixwell Avenue Hamden, Connecticut 06518

RE: Asbestos and Mercury Sampling of Suspect Flooring, Mastic and Substrate – Project #200201 Building #4 – Gymnasium Hamden High Meadows 825 Hartford Turnpike Hamden, CT

Dear Mr. Morton:

BL Companies was retained to collect samples of suspect mastic to be tested for asbestos, as well as the flooring material and associated substrate to be tested for mercury. These samples were collected from the Gymnasium/Theater floor. On January 20, 2021 Michele Lawrence, A Connecticut Licensed Asbestos Inspector (001006) collected six samples of the flooring (three for asbestos and three for mercury), and three samples of the substrate in three areas of the floor.

Asbestos samples were delivered to EMSL Wallingford, CT for PLM analysis. The results of the analysis show that all samples were found to be non-asbestos containing. Copies of the ACM analytical results and chain of custody are attached

Mercury samples were delivered to Phoenix Environmental Laboratories for TCLP analysis. The results of the analysis show that all samples were found to be non-mercury containing. Copies of the TCLP analytical results and chain of custody are attached.

BL Companies appreciates the opportunity to assist you with this project. If you have any questions regarding the information provided herein, please feel free to contact the undersigned at 203-630-1406.

Sincerely,

BL Companies

Claye Olisen

Clare Olesen Senior Project Manager Attachments

An Employee-Owned Company



Attachment A

Asbestos Laboratory Analytical Results



EMSL ANALYTICAL,	
LABORATORY PRODUCTS -TRA	

Asbestos Bulk Building Material Chain of Custody

EMSL Analytical, Inc. 29 North Plains Hwy, Unit 4

EMSL Order Number (Lab Use Only):

1	24	21	003	88
				-

Wallingford, CT 06492 PHONE: (203) 284-5948 FAX: (203) 284-5978

Company	BL Con	npanies		EMSL-Bill to: Same Different						
		ch Parkway		Third Partv	Third Party Billing requires written authorization from third party					
City: Merid	len		State/Province: CT	Zip/Postal Code		Country: US				
		Ciare Olesen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Telephone #: (8						
		blesen@blcomp	anies.com		Fax #: 2036302615 Purchase Order:					
Project Na				Please Provide						
		Taken: CT		CT Samples:	Commercial/Tax					
		<u> </u>	Turnaround Time (T							
	3 hr throu	gh 6 nr, please call a		remium charge for 3 Hou		A Level II TAT. You will be asked to sign ated in the Analytical Price Guide.				
	PLN	<u>1 - Bulk (reportin</u>	g limit)		<u>TEM – Bulk</u>					
		93/116 (<1%)				116 Section 2.5.5.1				
			······································	NY ELAP Metho						
		(<0.25%) 🗌 100		Chatfield Protoc						
Point Coun	t w/Gravi	metric 🗌 400 (<0	.25%) 🗌 1000 (<0.1%)	[- <u></u>		/116 Section 2.5.5.2				
	9002 (<1			TEM Qualitative						
		d 198.1 (friable in	•	TEM Qualitative	e via Drop Mount F					
		d 198.6 NOB (nor	n-friable-NY)		<u>Oth</u>	ler				
OSHA I		odified								
						·,				
			y Identify Homogenous		npled: 1/21/2020					
Samplers I	Vame:	Michele	Lawrence	Samplers Sig	inature: MVU	Melance				
Sample #	HA#		Sample Location		Material Description					
OIZO-ML	014	Gymnasi	um floor		Rubber fl	oor				
	ØB.	7			и	И				
OIZO-ML	-OZA				Associ	uted mashic				
	OZB				K	V				
Client Sam	ple # (s)	: ())	A - 02B.		 Total # c	of Samples: 4				
Client Sample # (s): Relinquished (Client): M((WWWWG)(U Date:			te: 1/20/21							
Received (Lab): Date:			ter							
<u> </u>		Instructions:	Da		••••	DEGEDVEN				
L			Page 1 of	_pages		JAN 20 2021 U By_D_S_013 13:30				

	EMSL Analytical, Inc. 29 North Plains Highway, Unit # 4 Wallingford, CT 06492 Tel/Fax: (203) 284-5948 / (203) 284-5978 http://www.EMSL.com / wallingfordIab@emsl.com	EMSL Order: Customer ID: Customer PO: Project ID:	
Attention:	Clare Olesen	Phone:	(203) 608-2496
	BL Companies	Fax:	(203) 630-2615
	355 Research Parkway	Received Date:	01/20/2021 1:30 PM
	Meriden, CT 06450	Analysis Date:	01/20/2021
		Collected Date:	01/20/2021
Project:			

Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via EPA 600/R-93/116 Method using Polarized Light Microscopy

	Non-Asbestos					
Sample	Description	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Туре	
0120-ML-01A	Gymnasium Floor - Rubber Floor	Tan Non-Fibrous		100% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected	
242100388-0001		Homogeneous				
0120-ML-01B	Gymnasium Floor -	Tan		100% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected	
	Rubber Floor	Non-Fibrous				
242100388-0002		Homogeneous				
0120-ML-02A	Gymnasium Floor -	Tan	2% Cellulose	3% Quartz	None Detected	
	Associated Mastic	Non-Fibrous		95% Non-fibrous (Other)		
242100388-0003		Homogeneous				
0120-ML-02B	Gymnasium Floor -	Yellow		100% Non-fibrous (Other)	None Detected	
	Associated Mastic	Non-Fibrous				
242100388-0004		Homogeneous				

Analyst(s)

Angela Catalano (2) Leslie Tetrick (2)

and Sarallier

Danny Sandhu, Asbestos Laboratory Manager or Other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. The above analyses were performed in general compliance with Appendix E to Subpart E of 40 CFR (previously EPA 600/M4-82-020 "Interim Method") but augmented with procedures outlined in the 1993 ("final") version of the method. This report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST or any agency of the federal government. Non-friable organically bound materials present a problem matrix and therefore EMSL recommends gravimetric reduction prior to analysis . Unless requested by the client, building materials manufactured with multiple layers (i.e. linoleum, wallboard, etc.) are reported as a single sample. Estimation of uncertainty is available on request.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Wallingford, CT NVLAP Lab Code 200700-0,

Initial report from: 01/20/2021 16:53:14

Attachment B

Mercury Laboratory Analytical Results



Analysis Januar	FOR: Attn: Clare Olesen BL Companies, Inc 355 Research Park Meriden, CT 06450				nc. arkway	rkway			
Sample Information			Custody Information				<u>[</u>	Date	<u>Time</u>
Matrix:	SOIL		Collected by	/:			0	1/20/21	9:30
Location Code:	BLCOMPA	NIES	Received by	/:	CP		0)1/21/21	13:46
Rush Request:	72 Hour		Analyzed by	:	see	"By" below			
P.O.#:			Laborato	ory	Dat	<u>a</u>	Р		D: GCH50241 D: CH50241
Project ID:	2000201								
Client ID:	HG-01								
Parameter		Result	RL/ PQL	Uni	ts	Dilution	Date/Tir	ne By	Reference
Mercury Mercury Digestior	1	< 0.06 Completed	0.06	mg/ł	Кg	5	01/22/21 01/22/21	RS ARW/C	SW7471B G SW7471B

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level

Comments:

Results are reported on an ``as received`` basis, and are not corrected for dry weight.

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director January 22, 2021 Official Report Release To Follow



Analysis January	FOR: Attn: Clare Olesen BL Companies, Inc. 355 Research Parkwa Meriden, CT 06450			nc. arkway	way				
Sample Information			Custody In	forma	ation		<u>D</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>
Matrix:	SOIL		Collected by	/:			0	1/20/21	9:40
Location Code:	BLCOMPA	NIES	Received by	/:	CP		01	1/21/21	13:46
Rush Request:	72 Hour		Analyzed by	' :	see	"By" below			
P.O.#:			Laborato	ory	Dat	<u>ta</u>	Pł		D: GCH50241 D: CH50242
Project ID:	2000201								
Client ID:	HG-02								
Parameter		Result	RL/ PQL	Unit	ts	Dilution	Date/Tim	ne By	Reference
Mercury Mercury Digestior	ı	< 0.07 Completed	0.07	mg/ŀ	٢g	5	01/22/21 01/22/21	RS ARW/C	SW7471B G SW7471B

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level

Comments:

Results are reported on an ``as received`` basis, and are not corrected for dry weight.

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director January 22, 2021 Official Report Release To Follow



Analysis January	FOR: Attn: Clare Olesen BL Companies, Inc. 355 Research Parkw Meriden, CT 06450			nc. arkway	way				
Sample Information			Custody Int	forma	ation		<u>Da</u>	ate	<u>Time</u>
Matrix:	SOIL		Collected by	<i>'</i> :			01/	/20/21	9:50
Location Code:	BLCOMPA	NIES	Received by	' :	CP		01/	/21/21	13:46
Rush Request:	72 Hour		Analyzed by	:	see "	By" below			
P.O.#:			Laborato	ory	Data	<u>a</u>			D: GCH50241 D: CH50243
Project ID:	2000201								
Client ID:	HG-03								
Parameter		Result	RL/ PQL	Unit	ts	Dilution	Date/Time	e By	Reference
Mercury Mercury Digestior	1	< 0.07 Completed	0.07	mg/ł	ζg	5	01/22/21 01/22/21	RS ARW/C0	SW7471B G SW7471B

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level

Comments:

Results are reported on an ``as received`` basis, and are not corrected for dry weight.

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director January 22, 2021 Official Report Release To Follow



Analysis January	FOR: Attn: Clare Olesen BL Companies, Inc 355 Research Park Meriden, CT 06450				rkway				
Sample Information			Custody Inf	ation	Dat	<u>e</u>	Time		
Matrix:	SOIL		Collected by	:			01/2	0/21	10:30
Location Code:	BLCOMPA	NIES	Received by	:	CP		01/2	1/21	13:46
Rush Request:	72 Hour		Analyzed by:	:	see	"By" below			
P.O.#:			Laborato	ory	Dat	<u>a</u>	-	-	D: GCH50241 D: CH50244
Project ID:	2000201								
Client ID:	TCLP HG-01								
Parameter		Result	RL/ PQL	Uni	ts	Dilution	Date/Time	By	Reference
TCLP Mercury TCLP Digestion Me	ercury	< 0.0002 Completed	0.0002	mg/	Ĺ	1	01/22/21 01/22/21	RS ARW/CO	SW846 1311/7470 G SW7470A

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level

Completed

Comments:

TCLP Non-Volatile Extraction:

TCLP Extraction for Metals

Sample weight was < 100 grams (the minimum requirement of the method to insure homogeneity).

If you are the client above and have any questions concerning this testing, please do not hesitate to contact Phoenix Client Services at ext.200. The contents of this report cannot be discussed with anyone other than the client listed above without their written consent.

CG SW1311

01/21/21

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director January 22, 2021 Official Report Release To Follow



Analysis _{January}	FOR: Attn: Clare Olesen BL Companies, Inc. 355 Research Parkway Meriden, CT 06450								
Sample Information			Custody Information				Date	<u>e</u>	<u>Time</u>
Matrix:	SOIL		Collected by	<i>'</i> :			01/20	0/21	11:00
Location Code:	BLCOMPA	NIES	Received by	<i>'</i> :	СР		01/21	1/21	13:46
Rush Request:	72 Hour		Analyzed by		see "By" below				
P.O.#:		Laborato	ory	<u>Data</u>		-	-	D: GCH50241 D: CH50245	
Project ID:	2000201								
Client ID:	TCLP HG-02								
Parameter		Result	RL/ PQL	Uni	ts Dilution	Date/T	ime	By	Reference
TCLP Mercury TCLP Digestion M TCLP Extraction f	•	< 0.0002 Completed Completed	0.0002	mg/	Ľ 1	01/22/2 [.] 01/22/2 [.] 01/21/2 [.]	1	RS ARW/CO CG	SW846 1311/7470 G SW7470A SW1311

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level

Comments:

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director January 22, 2021 Official Report Release To Follow



Analysis _{January}	Report 22, 2021		FC	DR:	Attn: Clare Ole BL Companies 355 Research Meriden, CT 00	, Inc. Parkway			
Sample Information			Custody In	ation		<u>Date</u>		<u>Time</u>	
Matrix:	SOIL		Collected by	/:			01/2	0/21	11:30
Location Code:	BLCOMPA	NIES	Received by	/:	СР		01/2	1/21	13:46
Rush Request:	72 Hour		Analyzed by	/:	see "By" below	v			
P.O.#:			Laborate	ory	<u>Data</u>		_	-	D: GCH50241 D: CH50246
Project ID:	2000201								
Client ID:	TCLP HG-03								
Parameter		Result	RL/ PQL	Uni	ts Dilution	Date/	Time	Ву	Reference
TCLP Mercury TCLP Digestion M TCLP Extraction for	•	< 0.0002 Completed Completed	0.0002	mg/	′L 1	01/22/2 01/22/2 01/21/2	21	RS ARW/CO CG	SW846 1311/7470 G SW7470A SW1311

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level

Comments:

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director January 22, 2021 Official Report Release To Follow

Friday, Jan	uary 22, 2021		Sample Criteria	Exceedances Report				Page 1 of 1
Criteria:			•	1 - BLCOMPANIES				
State:	СТ						RL	Analysis
SampNo	Acode	Phoenix Analyte	Criteria	Result	RL	Criteria	Criteria	Units
*** Na Data	ta Dianlau ***							

*** No Data to Display ***

Phoenix Laboratories does not assume responsibility for the data contained in this exceedance report. It is provided as an additional tool to identify requested criteria exceedences. All efforts are made to ensure the accuracy of the data (obtained from appropriate agencies). A lack of exceedence information does not necessarily suggest conformance to the criteria. It is ultimately the site professional's responsibility to determine appropriate compliance.

			Con the second																							-
Her: Yes No	OC Pg of	Mtact Options:	coleser @ blcompanies.		This section MUST be completed with	Bottle Quantities.	140001	14054 14054 14055 14055	THAN OF 1 20 40 40		×	×							Data Format	Excel	GIS/Key	Other	Data Fackage Tier II Checklist Full Data Packade*	Phoenix Std Report	* SURCHARGE APPLIES	
Cooler: Cooler: IPK	Temp	Data Delivery/Conflact Options:	Phone: Email: Coleser.	Project P.O.			COT I	Tous st	00 1 14 04	-									MA	MCP Certification		S-1			ollected: CT	
	ORD	ster, CT 06040	Fax (860) 645-0823 645-8726	301	(04.200 @ 01.000 0 01.000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								×	×	×		•		5	Cert Exposure Cert (Residential)	<u></u>	_ <u>_</u>	C B MODINY Residential DEC		State where samples were collected:	
	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	37	60)	ect: 2000201	Report to: <u>[6865 860]519</u> Invoice to: <u>(8865 860)519</u>		is we only	Ar 13 6, 217											Time: RI	10.00	11544	Other		e *s	E APPLIES	
	HAIN OF	Aiddle Turnpik	Email: info@phoenixlabs.com Client Services (8	Project:	Repo		Analysi			+	۶	X	×	×	×				Date:	1 31/20	1141.4		1 urnaround:	3 Days*		
	ບ	587 East N	Email		Sout		on Date: 1/30/3-1	W =Waste Water W =Wipe OIL =Oil	Date Time Sampled Sampled	-	1 9:40	9:20	10:30	1 1:00	1/20/21 11:30	1					ALL					
			s, Inc.	کعنم	27	ļ	entificati	Surface Water V Soil SD =Solid	Sample Matrix		5	Ð	S	Ś	S 1			.,	 	A.	ALL L) Suc:	•			
	V)FNIX	Environmental Laboratories, Inc	BL COMPANIES			Client Sample - Information - Identification	M=Ground Water SW≕9 diment SL=Sludge S=	Customer Sample Identification	H9-01	H9-02	Ha - 03	TCLOHQ-01	TCLP Hg - 03	TCLP Hg-03				Accepted by	aune UI		uirements or Regulatic			5. ²⁴	-
		DHD	Environmente	Customer:	Address:	. .	Clier Sampler's WU Signature	Matrix Code: DW=Drinking Water GW=Ground Water SW=Surface Water WW=Waste Water RW=Raw Water SE=Sediment SL=Sludge S=Soil SD=Solid W=Wipe OIL=Oil B=Bulk L=Liquid	PHOENIX USE ONLY SAMPLE #	-	th	50au3	Finauu re	SUAUS TC	502410 16	<u>ر</u>			Relinguished by:	War Church Law	Je lan	Comments, Special Requirements or Regulations	te ndat	-		

APPENDIX 2 DETAILED ESTIMATING TABLES

Building #1 - Boys Dormitory									
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition					
Pipe insulation	Basement- throughout 1 st floor- throughout	~550 LF	\$22,000	\$22,000					
Mudded pipe fitting	Basement-throughout 1 st floor- throughout	~250 LF	\$10,000	\$10,000					
Black window glaze (picture windows)	1 st floor- room 122 into entry foyer	100 LF	remains	\$2,500					
Ceramic tile grout and setting compound	1 st floor bathrooms 130, 138, 136, 151, basement bathrooms B09, B10	700 SF	\$20,000	\$20,000					
Window Glazing	Exterior Windows Small 1x5 Med 1x6 Large 3x10	17/each 7/each 33/each	\$37,000	\$37,000					
Window Caulk	Exterior Windows (perimeter)	~1,160 LF	included above	\$40,000					
Original Caulk	Roof, Expansion Joints, Roof Penetrations	~350 LF	Remains	\$8,750					
Interior Caulk	Pipe/electrical penetrations	~150 LF	\$1,500	\$3,000					
Roof Materials	Roof	~9,192 LF	\$75,000	\$46,000					
Foundation Waterproofing/tar	Underground	~2,000 SF	Remains	\$26,000					
Blind Flashing	Under Brick Façade around Windows	~1,500 SF	Remains	\$30,000					
PCB Soil	Under Windows	~12 CY	Remains	\$20,400					
	Renovation Estimate		\$165,500						
	Demolition Estimate			\$265,650					

Note: All floor tile and associated mastics were abated from building in 2012, **BOLDED** is also PCB suspect

Building #3 - Senn Building									
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition					
Glue behind tack board- gray	Upper level-room 303, 307, 332 and 333	125 SF	\$1,800	\$1,800					
Boiler insulation beneath metal jacket	Lower level-boiler room	200 SF	\$6,000	\$6,000					
Brown wood panel adhesive on walls	Upper level- room 357	150 SF	\$2,500	\$2,500					
Window Glazing	Exterior Windows 1x4 Small 3x8 3x6 3x9 Med 4x8 Large 6x8 Extra Large 9x8	4/each 34/each 5/each 3/each 9/each 4/each 12/each	Remains	\$44,000					
Window Caulk	Exterior Windows (perimeter)	~1,700 SF	Remains	\$57,800					
Original Caulk	Roof, Expansion Joints, Roof Penetrations	~1,200 LF	Remains	\$40,800					
Interior Caulk	Pipe/electrical penetrations	~150 LF	Remains	\$5,000					
Roof Materials	Roof	~7,600 SF	Remains	\$26,600					
Foundation Waterproofing/tar	Underground	~2,700 SF	Remains	\$35,000					
Blind Flashing	Under Brick Façade around Windows	~1,700 SF	Remains	\$22,000					
PCB Soil	Under Windows	~18 CY	Remains	\$30,600					
	Renovation Estimate		\$10,300						
	Demolition Estimate			\$272,100					

Note: All floor tile and associated mastics were abated in 2012 **BOLDED** is also PCB suspect

Г

Building #4 - Gymnasium								
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition				
9" light brown vinyl floor tile and underlying mastic	Attic above gym office	60 SF	\$2,800	\$2,800				
Joint compound- tan	Room 400, 410, box's locker room		\$26,000	\$26,000				
Interior door caulk	Rooms 408, 409, 410, boy's locker room, storage areas, attic, gym	255 LF	Remains	\$6,500				
Interior door window glaze- Gray hard	Entry doors for gym	4 EA	Remains	\$1,000				
Ceramic tile setting compound	Boy's locker room, girl's locker room	500 SF	\$6,000	\$6,000				
Window Glazing	Exterior Windows Small 2x2 Med 3x5x5 Large 4x5	1/each 4/each 12/each	Remains	\$15,000				
Window Caulk	Exterior Windows (perimeter)	~275 LF	Remains	\$9,350				
Original Caulk	Roof, Expansion Joints, Roof Penetrations	~300 LF	Remains	\$10,200				
Interior Caulk	Pipe/electrical penetrations	~60 LF	Remains	\$2,000				
Transite Shingles (Gable)	Roof	~2,000 SF	\$16,000	\$16,000				
Roof Materials (Flat)	Roof	~6,000 SF	Remains	\$20,000				
Foundation Waterproofing/tar	Underground	~1,500 SF	Remains	\$50,000				
Blind Flashing	Under Brick Façade around Windows	~800 SF	Remains	\$27,000				
PCB Soil	Under Windows	~4 CY	Remains	\$6,800				
	Renovation Estimate		\$50,800					
	Demolition Estimate			\$198,650				

Building #5 - Kitchen/Dining								
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition				
1'x1' Ceiling tile and glue daubs	Kitchen area rooms 116, 112	~900 SF	\$6,300	\$6,300				
Joint compound at ceiling with 1'x1' ceiling tilesKitchen Area Room 116, 112, DiningI17 entrances		~1,100 SF	\$5,500	\$5,500				
Tile grout/setting Cooking area 118		~1,000 SF	\$7,500	\$7,500				
Pipe insulation	Wall cavities	~400 LF	\$14,000	\$14,000				
Window Glazing	Exterior Windows Small 3x1 Med 3x5 Large 5x5 Extra Large 5x10	39/each 20/each 8/each 3/each	\$36,000	\$36,000				
Window Caulk	Exterior Windows (perimeter)	~900 SF	Incl. above	\$30,600				
Original Caulk	Roof, Expansion Joints, Roof Penetrations	~200 LF	Remains	\$6,800				
Panel	White Panels at Elevation	750 SF	Remains	\$12,000				
Panel Caulk	White Panels at Elevation	350 LF	Remains	\$12,000				
Interior Caulk	Pipe/electrical penetrations	~150 LF	Remains	\$5,100				
Roof Materials	Roof	~4,000 SF	\$32,000	\$16,000				
Foundation tar	Underground	~1,400 SF	Remains	\$47,600				
Blind Flashing	Under Brick Façade at Windows	~500 SF	Remains	\$17,000				
PCB Soil	Under Windows	~10 CY	Remains	\$17,000				
	Renovation Estimate		\$101,300					
	Demolition Estimate			\$233,400				

	Building #6 - Activity Center									
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition						
9" beige vinyl floor tile and underlying black mastic	Ground floor - electrical room 605	~60 SF	\$2,500	\$2,500						
Ceramic floor tile setting compound	Bathrooms 603, 604	~160 SF	\$5,000	\$5,000						
Window Glazing	Exterior Windows Med 1x4	10/each	Remains	\$5,500						
Window Caulk	Exterior Windows (perimeter)	~100 SF	Remains	\$3,400						
Original Caulk	Roof Penetrations	~20 LF	Remains	\$1,000						
Interior Caulk	Pipe/electrical penetrations	~10 F	Remains	\$500						
Roof Materials	Roof	~1,950 SF	Remains	\$7,800						
Foundation Waterproofing/tar	Underground	~500 SF	Remains	\$17,000						
PCB Soil	Under Windows	~12 CY	Remains	\$20,400						
	Renovation Estimate	\$7,500								
	Demolition Estimate		\$63,100							

	Building #7 - Cottage									
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition						
White/tan/gray pebble floor sheeting	1 st floor- bathroom and custodial closet 2 nd floor- central bath and northwest bath	350 SF	\$2,000	\$2,000						
White/purple sink undercoating	1 st floor- kitchen	2 EA	\$300	\$300						
Transite panel behind furnace	Basement- furnace room	30 SF	Remains	\$1,500						
Glazing	Windows	Unknown	Remains	\$3,000						
Roof Materials	Roof	~1,500 SF	Remains	\$5,500						
Foundation Waterproofing/tar	Underground	~560 SF	Remains	\$12,000						
	Renovation Estimate	\$2,300								
	Demolition Estimate		\$24,300							

	Building #8 - Grounds Garage									
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition						
Roof Materials	Roof	1,200 SF	Remains	\$4,800						
	Renovation Estimate	\$0								
	Demolition Estimate		\$4,800							

	Building #9 - Maintenance/ HVAC									
Material Description	Locations	Amount	Renovation	Demolition						
Ceramic Floor/wall tile grout/adhesive	Room 902 Bathroom	~100 SF	\$2,800	\$2,800						
Window Glazing	Exterior Windows Small 2x1 Med 1x4 Large 2x4	2/each 1/each 2/each	Remains	\$2,950						
Window Caulk	Exterior Windows (perimeter)	~50 SF	Remains	\$2,000						
Original Caulk	Roof, Expansion Joints, Roof Penetrations	~40 LF	Remains	\$1,500						
Interior Caulk	Pipe/electrical penetrations	~15 LF	Remains	\$600						
Roof Materials	Roof	~1,200 SF	Remains	\$4,800						
Foundation Waterproofing/tar	Underground	~400 SF	Remains	\$13,600						
Blind Flashing	Under Brick Façade around Windows	~50 SF	Remains	\$1,700						
PCB Soil	Under Windows	~1 CY	Remains	\$1,700						
	Renovation Estimate		\$2,800							
	Demolition Estimate		\$31,650							